

**31 May 2024****SANDFIRE FINALISES APPOINTMENT OF COMPANY AUDITOR**

Sandfire Resources Limited (**Sandfire** or **the Company**) is pleased to advise that the Supreme Court of Western Australia has today granted the orders sought by the Company in relation to the appointment of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu (**Deloitte**) as the Company's auditor.

The Company refers to its announcement dated 29 May 2024 and advises that earlier today the Supreme Court of Western Australia heard the Company's application to rectify the issues in relation to the failure of the Company to seek shareholder ratification of Deloitte's appointment as the Company's auditor. The Court made the orders sought by the Company, namely that the appointment of Deloitte as auditor of the Company was not invalid by reason of the failure to seek ratification of Deloitte's appointment.

A copy of the orders issued by the Court accompany this announcement. As set out in the orders, any person who claims to have suffered substantial injustice or is likely to suffer substantial injustice by the making of them is entitled to apply to the Court to vary or discharge the orders within 28 days.

The Board confirms it does not consider this matter to be price sensitive due to its administrative nature.

**- ENDS -**

**For further information, please contact:****Investors**

Ben Crowley  
Head of Investor Relations  
Office: +61 8 6430 3800

**Media**

Peter Kermode  
Purple  
T: +61 411 209 459

**This announcement is authorised for release by Sandfire's Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director, Brendan Harris.**



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA

COR/87/2024

EX PARTE:

**SANDFIRE RESOURCES LIMITED (ACN 105  
154 185)**

Plaintiff

---

**ORDERS OF THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE STRK  
MADE ON 31 MAY 2024**

---

**UPON THE APPLICATION made by the plaintiff by an originating process filed on 28 May 2024, AND AFTER HEARING Mr A Papamatheos of counsel for the plaintiff, IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

1. Pursuant to s 1322(4)(a) of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth), it is declared that the appointment of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu as auditor of the plaintiff from 29 November 2023 is not invalid by reason of:
  - (a) the failure of the plaintiff and/or its directors to comply with s 327B(1)(b) of the Corporations Act by not having such appointment approved at the 2023 Annual General Meeting of the plaintiff;
  - (b) the failure of the plaintiff and/or its directors to comply with s 327C of the Corporations Act within one month following the 2023 Annual General Meeting of the plaintiff;
  - (c) the failure of the plaintiff and/or its directors and officers to comply with s 328B of the Corporations Act;
  - (d) any failure by the plaintiff to comply with s 302 of the Corporations Act by not having a half-year financial report by a properly appointed auditor for the financial half-year ending 31 December 2023; and
  - (e) any failure by the plaintiff to comply with s 320 of the Corporations Act by not lodging a half-year financial report by a properly appointed auditor for the financial half-year ending 31 December 2023 with the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) within 75 days of the end of the half-year,

and, pursuant to s 1322(4) the plaintiff and its directors and officers (as the case may be) are deemed to have complied with those requirements.

2. A sealed copy of these orders is to be served on ASIC as soon as reasonably practicable and upon service of these orders, ASIC is to include these orders on its database.
3. A sealed copy of these orders is to be given to the plaintiff's former auditor, Ernst & Young, and the plaintiff's current auditor, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu.
4. As soon as is reasonably practicable, the plaintiff is to publish an announcement to the Australian Securities Exchange annexing a copy of these orders.
5. For a period of 28 days from the date of these orders, any person who claims to have suffered substantial injustice or is likely to suffer substantial injustice by the making of any or all of these orders has liberty to apply to vary or to discharge them within that period.
6. The plaintiff have liberty to apply for further orders.
7. There be no order as to costs.

BY THE COURT

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE L STRK

